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|  |  |  |  |
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| Student Name: | Sarah Williams, Nicola Mainwaring | | |
| Module: | Critical Methods (Paper) | | |
| Mark (number): | 59 | Late Penalty: |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Marker Comments**  **Compliance & Presentation**  *Does this assignment follow the requirements?*  *Is the assignment correct in format?*  *Is the assignment presented in a clean fashion?*  *Are concepts from the course applied?*  **Research Quality & Sources**  *What is the academic rigor of this assignment?*  *Does the assignment have adequate sources?*  *Are concepts from the course applied correctly?*  **Writing Quality**  *Does this assignment use proper grammar & punctuation?*  *Is the writing strong, active, and interesting?*  *Are sentences concise and clear?*  *Does the assignment flow through proper transitions?*  **Argument**  *Are the arguments clearly stated?*  *Are the arguments sound and focused?*  *Does the evidence provided support the arguments?*  *Are the arguments conceptually based?*  **Analysis of Method**  *Is the analysis analytical rather than descriptive?*  *Does the analysis utilize appropriate scholarship?*  *How well does the analysis capture the literature?*  *Does the analysis contribute to future research?*  *Are concepts from the course explicated throughout?* | **This is an interesting project, one that is very ambitious. The assignment structure is representative of expectations, and the methods and theories are presented well, though more could be done to discuss how and why these methods in particular fit with the theories – and the case.**  **I wonder if more recent and relevant examples of how the theories and methods have been used in other work could be applicable here. Were there no other pieces out there that could be used for comparison or for information/justification of and for the project?**  **Very long paragraphs and sentences make for difficult interpretation of the approaches and meanings, including explications and definitions of terms.**  **The main argument seems buried on page 5, though it is there. Would also have liked more argument as to the rationalization of the method as you did for the theoretical sets. Left also wondering what one gets from this analysis. In short, what is the “So What?” in terms of the theory and methodological outcomes of the project v. the industry and professional understandings?**  **The conclusion begins a good discussion about the rationalization and the outcomes of the project, though this discussion should have been extended a bit more. Overall, your work in the class has shown a great grasp of where you project should go, and this paper seems to lack some of that nuance and organization.** |
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